| CALIFORNIA IN ORG | BEFORE THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE I CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE TO THE STITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE GANIZED PURSUANT TO THE STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT REGULAR MEETING |
|----------------------|--|
| LOCATION: | VIA ZOOM |
| DATE: | DECEMBER 8, 2020 11 A.M. |
| REPORTER: | BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR CSR. NO. 7152 |
| FILE NO.: | 2020-20 |
| | |
| | |

| Ι | Ν | D | Е | Х |
|---|---|---|---|----------|
| _ | | | _ | <i>.</i> |

| ITEM DESCRIPTION OPEN SESSION | PAGE NO. | |
|---|------------|--|
| 1. CALL TO ORDER. | 3 | |
| 2. ROLL CALL. | 3 | |
| ACTION ITEMS: | | |
| 3. CONSIDERATION OF CIRM ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET FOR 1/1/21-6/30/21. | 3 | |
| | | |
| 4. PUBLIC COMMENT. | NONE | |
| 4. PUBLIC COMMENT.5. ADJOURNMENT | NONE 21 | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| | - , |
|----|---|
| 1 | DECEMBER 8, 2020; 11 A.M. |
| 2 | |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN THOMAS: LET'S CALL THE MEETING |
| 4 | TO ORDER THEN, MARIA. WILL YOU CALL THE ROLL. |
| 5 | MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN JUELSGAARD: HERE. |
| 7 | MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON. |
| 8 | DR. GASSON: HERE. |
| 9 | MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT. |
| 10 | MR. ROWLETT: HERE. |
| 11 | MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD. |
| 12 | DR. STEWARD: HERE. |
| 13 | MS. BONNEVILLE: SUZANNE SANDMEYER. |
| 14 | DR. SANDMEYER: HERE. |
| 15 | MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HERE. |
| 17 | MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES. |
| 18 | MR. TORRES: HERE. |
| 19 | MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI. |
| 20 | DR. VUORI: HERE. |
| 21 | MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. ALL ARE |
| 22 | PRESENT, STEVE. |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN JUELSGAARD: PERFECT. THANK |
| 24 | YOU. SO THE PURPOSE OF THIS MEETING IS TO REVISIT |
| 25 | THE $20/21$ BUDGET, PARTICULARLY THE SECOND HALF OF |
| | |
| | 3 |

| 1 | THE BUDGET THAT RUNS FROM JANUARY THROUGH JUNE OF |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE YEAR 2021 SINCE WE ARE ON A HALF-YEAR FISCAL |
| 3 | YEAR. SO, AS YOU MAY RECALL, WE BUDGETED FOR THE |
| 4 | SECOND HALF OF THE YEAR BASED ON THE ASSUMPTION THAT |
| 5 | PROP 14 WOULD NOT PASS. WE TOOK A VERY CONSERVATIVE |
| 6 | APPROACH, AND IT WAS BASED UPON A WIND-DOWN |
| 7 | SITUATION. AS GOOD FORTUNE WOULD HAVE IT, THAT |
| 8 | WASN'T HOW THINGS TURNED OUT. WE'RE STILL IN |
| 9 | BUSINESS, AND WE HAVE A LOT TO DO BEFORE US. |
| 10 | SO THE STAFF AT CIRM HAVE BEEN BUSY |
| 11 | WORKING ON PREPARING A REVISED BUDGET FOR THE SECOND |
| 12 | HALF OF THIS FISCAL YEAR, AGAIN STARTING IN JUNE OF |
| 13 | 2021 RUNNING STARTING IN JANUARY 2021 AND RUNNING |
| 14 | THROUGH JUNE. AND THIS IS TO TAKE A LOOK AT THAT |
| 15 | BUDGET, ADDRESS ANY QUESTIONS OR CONCERNS THE |
| 16 | FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE MAY HAVE. ONCE WE'VE DECIDED |
| 17 | THAT IT'S ACCEPTABLE FROM OUR POINT OF VIEW, THIS |
| 18 | WILL BE PRESENTED TO THE BOARD WITH OUR |
| 19 | RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL. |
| 20 | SO, MARIA, WHO'S GOING TO LEAD THE |
| 21 | DISCUSSION OF THE BUDGET? I WASN'T CLEAR ABOUT |
| 22 | THAT. |
| 23 | DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU, STEVE. IT'S GOING |
| 24 | TO BE JENNIFER LEWIS, OUR DIRECTOR OF GRANTS |
| 25 | MANAGEMENT. |
| | 4 |

4

| 1 | CHAIRMAN JUELSGAARD: GREAT. JENNIFER, |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE TABLE IS YOURS. |
| 3 | MS. LEWIS: GREAT. LET ME SHARE MY |
| 4 | SCREEN. OKAY. CAN EVERYONE SEE THAT? |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN JUELSGAARD: YES. |
| 6 | MS. LEWIS: THANK YOU, STEVE. GOOD |
| 7 | MORNING, EVERYONE. I AM JENNIFER LEWIS. I'M THE |
| 8 | DIRECTOR OF GRANTS MANAGEMENT AT CIRM, AND I'M |
| 9 | CURRENTLY ACTING AS HEAD OF FINANCE. THANK YOU FOR |
| 10 | THE OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT TO YOU TODAY OUR |
| 11 | FINANCIAL UPDATE FOR OUR PROPOSED REVISED BUDGET |
| 12 | FROM JANUARY THROUGH JUNE 2021. |
| 13 | SO AS STEVE MENTIONED, AS YOU RECALL, IN |
| 14 | JUNE THE ICOC APPROVED THE 2020/2021 BUDGET WHICH |
| 15 | ANTICIPATED COST TO SUPPORT THE AGENCY'S PLAN FOR A |
| 16 | WIND-DOWN. AND WITH THE PASSAGE OF PROPOSITION 14, |
| 17 | WE ARE NOW PROPOSING A REVISION TO THIS APPROVED |
| 18 | BUDGET TO SUPPORT CONTINUED OPERATIONS OF THE AGENCY |
| 19 | OVER THE NEXT SIX MONTHS. |
| 20 | SO FOR THE AGENDA TODAY, I WANTED TO FIRST |
| 21 | REVIEW BRIEFLY THE PREVIOUS APPROVED 2020/2021 |
| 22 | BUDGET AND THE MAJOR DRIVERS OF THIS CURRENT BUDGET. |
| 23 | AND THEN I'LL PRESENT TO YOU OUR PROPOSED REVISIONS |
| 24 | FOR THE SECOND HALF OF THIS FISCAL YEAR FROM JANUARY |
| 25 | THROUGH JUNE OF 2021, AND MAJOR DRIVERS FOR THESE |
| | 5 |
| | 5 |

| 1 | CHANGES, ALONG WITH THE TOTAL PROPOSED REVISED |
|----|--|
| 2 | BUDGET. |
| 3 | SO, FIRST, LET'S REVIEW THE CURRENT |
| 4 | 2020/2021 FISCAL YEAR BUDGET THAT WAS APPROVED IN |
| 5 | JUNE. AS A REMINDER, AS STEVE MENTIONED, AT THE |
| 6 | TIME THIS BUDGET WAS APPROVED, WE HAD UNDER \$50 |
| 7 | MILLION FOR NEW RESEARCH AWARDS AND WHETHER CIRM |
| 8 | WOULD BE REFUNDED UNDER PROPOSITION 14 WAS AN |
| 9 | UNKNOWN. THUS, THIS BUDGET WAS PHASED INTO TWO |
| 10 | PARTS TO SUPPORT OUR WIND-DOWN PLAN. THE |
| 11 | ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET FOR THE FIRST HALF OF THE YEAR |
| 12 | FROM JULY THROUGH DECEMBER WOULD SUPPORT OPERATIONS |
| 13 | TO CONTINUE THE COVID 19 AND CURE SICKLE CELL |
| 14 | RESEARCH PROGRAMS WITH REMAINING FUNDS, TO FUND NEW |
| 15 | AWARDS WITH RETURNED FUNDS AS APPROVED BY THE ICOC, |
| 16 | AND TO MAINTAIN THE CURRENT STAFFING LEVELS TO |
| 17 | CONTINUE MANAGEMENT OF OUR ACTIVE PORTFOLIO. |
| 18 | THE SECOND HALF OF OUR BUDGET FROM JANUARY |
| 19 | THROUGH JUNE OF THE FISCAL YEAR SUPPORTED A |
| 20 | WIND-DOWN OF THE ORGANIZATION. AND THIS WIND-DOWN |
| 21 | AS YOU RECALL, WOULD HAVE BEEN IN TWO PHASES. THE |
| 22 | FIRST WOULD HAVE BEEN A SMALL WIND-DOWN AT THE END |
| 23 | OF DECEMBER AND THEN AN ADDITIONAL WIND-DOWN IN JUNE |
| 24 | 2021, RESULTING IN THE CORE STAFF REMAINING TO |
| 25 | MANAGE THE PORTFOLIO THROUGH 2023/24. |
| | |

6

| 1 | SO I BRIEFLY JUST WANT TO OUTLINE THE |
|----|--|
| 2 | WIND-DOWN COSTS THAT WERE CONSIDERED IN THIS CURRENT |
| 3 | APPROVED BUDGET FOR 2020/2021. AGAIN, DUE TO THE |
| 4 | PASSAGE OF PROPOSITION 14, CIRM WILL NOT BE ENACTING |
| 5 | THIS WIND-DOWN PLAN; HOWEVER, I WANTED TO HIGHLIGHT |
| 6 | THE MAJOR DRIVERS OF THIS BUDGET WHICH WERE COSTS |
| 7 | ASSOCIATED WITH OUR WIND-DOWN PLAN. |
| 8 | A MAJOR COST THAT WE PLANNED TO INCUR IN |
| 9 | IMPLEMENTING OUR WIND-DOWN WAS BUDGETED FUNDS FOR A |
| 10 | LEAVE BUYOUT. THESE FUNDS WERE BUDGETED IN |
| 11 | ACCORDANCE WITH THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ANNUAL LEAVE |
| 12 | PROGRAM AS WELL AS THEIR SEPARATION POLICIES. |
| 13 | ANOTHER MAJOR COST THAT WE CONSIDERED IN |
| 14 | THIS BUDGET WAS FOR ASSET DISPOSAL IN THE EVENT CIRM |
| 15 | WOULD NEED TO CLOSE ITS OFFICES AND RETURN FURNITURE |
| 16 | AND EQUIPMENT TO THE STATE. |
| 17 | AND, FINALLY, WE HAD PLANS TO RETAIN SOME |
| 18 | STAFF TO MANAGE THE VARIOUS ASPECTS OF THESE |
| 19 | WIND-DOWN ACTIVITIES, OFF-BOARDING STAFF, ASSET |
| 20 | DISPOSAL, PORTFOLIO HANDOFF AND COORDINATION WITH |
| 21 | THE VARIOUS STATE AGENCIES, AS WELL AS MAINTAINING |
| 22 | ANY DATA AND RECORDS AS WE TRANSITIONED. THUS, THE |
| 23 | SALARIES AND ASSOCIATED BENEFITS FOR THESE STAFF |
| 24 | COSTS TO SUPPORT THE CLOSE-OUT ACTIVITIES ARE |
| 25 | INCLUDED IN THIS WIND-DOWN BUDGET. |
| | |

7

| - | |
|----|--|
| 1 | HERE'S THE CURRENT 2020/2021 FISCAL YEAR |
| 2 | BUDGET. THIS CHART DISPLAYS THE BUDGET IN THE TWO |
| 3 | HALVES AS I DESCRIBED. THE FIRST HALF OF THE FISCAL |
| 4 | YEAR FROM JULY THROUGH DECEMBER MAINTAIN STAFF |
| 5 | LEVELS TO SUPPORT OPERATIONS WITH AN APPROVED BUDGET |
| 6 | OF JUST UNDER 7.2 MILLION. AGAIN, WE HAD PLANNED TO |
| 7 | HAVE A SMALL WIND-DOWN AT THE END OF DECEMBER. AND |
| 8 | SO, THUS, FROM JANUARY THROUGH JUNE, WE WOULD BE |
| 9 | PERFORMING THE WIND-DOWN ACTIVITIES, AND THIS |
| 10 | APPROVED BUDGET WAS JUST UNDER 5.2 MILLION, |
| 11 | RESULTING IN A TOTAL APPROVED BUDGET TO DATE OF |
| 12 | \$12.3 MILLION. |
| 13 | NOW I'D LIKE TO REVIEW WITH YOU OUR |
| 14 | PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THIS BUDGET FOR THE 2020/2021 |
| 15 | FISCAL YEAR. THIS CHART COMPARES THE CURRENT |
| 16 | APPROVED AND PROPOSED BUDGET FOR THE SECOND HALF OF |
| 17 | THE YEAR FROM JANUARY THROUGH JUNE. THE FIRST |
| 18 | COLUMN DISPLAYS OUR CURRENT APPROVED BUDGET THAT I |
| 19 | JUST OUTLINED OF ABOUT 5.2 MILLION. THE SECOND |
| 20 | COLUMN DISPLAYS THE PROPOSED REVISED BUDGET FROM |
| 21 | JANUARY THROUGH JUNE 2021 TO SUPPORT OUR CONTINUED |
| 22 | OPERATIONS OF THE AGENCY, WHICH IS JUST UNDER 8.6 |
| 23 | MILLION. AS YOU CAN SEE, THE EMPLOYEE EXPENSE |
| 24 | CATEGORICAL LEVEL HAS INCREASED BY ABOUT 2.5 MILLION |
| 25 | IN THIS PROPOSED BUDGET. |
| | |

8

| 1 | ON THE NEXT SLIDE I WOULD LIKE TO PROVIDE |
|----|---|
| 2 | A LITTLE MORE DETAIL ON THIS INCREASE AND WHAT |
| 3 | FACTORS INTO THIS INCREASE IN EMPLOYEE EXPENSES. |
| 4 | SO THIS SLIDE DISPLAYS THE AGENCY'S |
| 5 | BUDGETED FULL-TIME PERSONNEL. AS YOU CAN SEE, IN |
| 6 | THE $19/20$ fiscal year, the budget supported 40 |
| 7 | FULL-TIME POSITIONS. THE CURRENT APPROVED $20/21$ |
| 8 | BUDGET ANTICIPATED AN EMPLOYEE HEAD COUNT BASED ON |
| 9 | THOSE TWO HALVES I PREVIOUSLY DESCRIBED. THE JULY |
| 10 | THROUGH DECEMBER BUDGETED SALARY AND BENEFITS WERE |
| 11 | FOR 33 FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES. AND THEN IN DECEMBER |
| 12 | THE AGENCY WOULD HAVE REDUCED HEAD COUNT TO 19 |
| 13 | EMPLOYEES THROUGH JUNE OF 2121. |
| 14 | THIS PROPOSED REVISED BUDGET FROM JANUARY |
| 15 | THROUGH JUNE REESTABLISHES THE POSITIONS THAT WERE |
| 16 | TO BE ELIMINATED IN DECEMBER, AND IT ADDITIONALLY |
| 17 | SUPPORTS SALARIES AND BENEFITS FOR TEN NEW HIRES FOR |
| 18 | PREVIOUSLY VACANT POSITIONS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN |
| 19 | FILLED SINCE $19/20$. AN EXAMPLE OF WHAT HAS BEEN |
| 20 | VACANT IS A BUSINESS SERVICE SPECIALIST WHO WOULD BE |
| 21 | IN CHARGE OF CONTRACTING AND PROCUREMENT AND |
| 22 | FACILITIES. THIS ROLE HAS BEEN VACANT SINCE THE |
| 23 | FISCAL YEAR $19/20$, and the duties that were assigned |
| 24 | TO THAT PREVIOUS STAFF POSITION WERE ASSIGNED TO |
| 25 | CURRENT STAFF IN DIFFERENT AREAS AS WE'RE OPERATING |
| | |

9

| 1 | IN A WIND-DOWN MODE SINCE THE 19/20 FISCAL YEAR. |
|----|--|
| 2 | NOW, WITH THE AGENCY CONTINUING |
| 3 | OPERATIONS, WE WOULD LIKE TO REOPEN A POSITION SUCH |
| 4 | AS A BUSINESS SERVICES SPECIALIST TO SUPPORT THE |
| 5 | ORGANIZATION'S ONGOING ACTIVITIES. SO, THUS, THIS |
| 6 | BUDGET ACCOUNTS FOR NOT ONLY REESTABLISHING THE |
| 7 | POSITIONS THAT WOULD WIND DOWN IN DECEMBER, BUT IT |
| 8 | ALSO ACCOUNTS FOR HIRING THESE VACANT ROLES THAT |
| 9 | HAVE BEEN VACANT SINCE 19/20. |
| 10 | THIS SLIDE DISPLAYS THE PROPOSED REVISED |
| 11 | BUDGET FOR THE $2020/2021$ FISCAL YEAR. AGAIN, THE |
| 12 | FIRST COLUMN DISPLAYS THE JULY THROUGH DECEMBER |
| 13 | BUDGET OF ABOUT 6.8 MILLION. YOU WILL NOTICE THIS |
| 14 | BUDGET IS SLIGHTLY LESS THAN THE ORIGINALLY APPROVED |
| 15 | BUDGET DISPLAYED BEFORE AS IT ELIMINATES THE |
| 16 | ANTICIPATED WIND-DOWN COSTS AND ANY TRAVEL THAT DID |
| 17 | NOT MATERIALIZE DUE TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH CRISIS AND |
| 18 | THE PANDEMIC. |
| 19 | THE SECOND COLUMN DISPLAYS THE PROPOSED |
| 20 | REVISED BUDGET FROM JANUARY THROUGH JUNE OF 2021 |
| 21 | WHICH IS JUST UNDER 8.6 MILLION WITH A TOTAL |
| 22 | PROPOSED REVISED BUDGET HIGHLIGHTED IN ORANGE OF |
| 23 | 15.3 MILLION. NEXT TO THIS IS COMPARED TO THE |
| 24 | PREVIOUSLY APPROVED BUDGET OF 12.3 MILLION. |
| 25 | NOW I'D LIKE TO OUTLINE THE MAJOR DRIVERS |
| | 10 |

| 1 | OF THIS PROPOSED 2020/2021 BUDGET. AS MENTIONED, |
|----|--|
| 2 | THIS BUDGET PROPOSAL INCREASES EMPLOYEE EXPENSES AS |
| 3 | IT REESTABLISHES THE EMPLOYEE HEAD COUNT FALLING |
| 4 | INTO TWO CATEGORIES. THE FIRST IS RETAINING |
| 5 | POSITIONS NOT ELIMINATED AS A WIND-DOWN PLAN WILL |
| 6 | NOT BE ENACTED; AND, SECONDLY, IT BUDGETS SALARIES |
| 7 | AND BENEFITS FOR NEW HIRES TO FILL THE CURRENT |
| 8 | VACANT ROLES, WHICH TOTAL ABOUT 2.5 MILLION, THE |
| 9 | DIFFERENCE FROM THE ORIGINALLY APPROVED BUDGET. |
| 10 | ADDITIONALLY THERE'S AN INCREASE IN |
| 11 | EXTERNAL SERVICES OF ABOUT ONE MILLION. THERE ARE |
| 12 | SEVERAL FACTORS THAT CONTRIBUTE TO THIS INCREASE. |
| 13 | THIS BUDGET ACCOUNTS FOR THE AGENCY'S REQUIRED $20/21$ |
| 14 | PERFORMANCE AUDIT. SO THAT INCREASE IN EXTERNAL |
| 15 | SERVICES, AS YOU RECALL, CIRM IS REQUIRED TO CONDUCT |
| 16 | A PERFORMANCE AUDIT EVERY THREE YEARS. AND 2021 IS |
| 17 | A YEAR WHERE WE ARE REQUIRED TO PERFORM THIS |
| 18 | ACTIVITY. AND WITH CONTINUED OPERATIONS, WE MUST |
| 19 | ISSUE AN RFP EARLY NEXT YEAR. SO THOSE FUNDS ARE |
| 20 | ALLOCATED IN THIS BUDGET. |
| 21 | ADDITIONALLY, THERE'S AN INCREASE IN |
| 22 | OUTSIDE LEGAL COUNSEL. CURRENTLY, AS YOU MAY |
| 23 | RECALL, THE LEGAL TEAM CONSISTS OF ONE FULL-TIME |
| 24 | EMPLOYEE, AND THE GENERAL COUNSEL ROLE IS VACANT. |
| 25 | THUS, DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF PROPOSITION 14, WE |
| | |

| 1 | ANTICIPATE THAT THE ICOC AND THE INTERNAL TEAM WILL |
|----|---|
| 2 | NEED COUNSEL TO SUPPORT THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE |
| 3 | NEW COMPONENTS OF THE INITIATIVE WHILE CONTINUING TO |
| 4 | RETAIN OUTSIDE COUNSEL TO SUPPORT THE ONGOING |
| 5 | MANAGEMENT OF OUR AWARDS AND PORTFOLIO. |
| 6 | AND, LASTLY, THIS BUDGET ANTICIPATES THAT |
| 7 | THE CURRENT SHELTER-IN-PLACE ORDERS AND WORK-AT-HOME |
| 8 | AND TRAVEL LIMITATIONS WILL STILL BE IN PLACE. |
| 9 | THUS, WE HAVE BUDGETED NO TRAVEL OUTSIDE OF THE |
| 10 | OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT FOR VERY LIMITED, CRITICAL |
| 11 | NEEDS ONLY, AND ALL IN-HOUSE MEETING EXPENSES HAVE |
| 12 | BEEN REPLACED WITH VIRTUAL MEETINGS. |
| 13 | SO HERE LOOKS THIS SLIDE LOOKS AT THE |
| 14 | 19/20 FISCAL YEAR BUDGET COMPARED TO THE CURRENTLY |
| 15 | PROPOSED BUDGET THAT WE'RE BRINGING TO YOU TODAY. |
| 16 | AND IN $19/20$ WE WERE AUTHORIZED TO SPEND ABOUT \$15.6 |
| 17 | MILLION, AND WE ENDED THE YEAR AT \$13.9 MILLION. |
| 18 | AND AS A REMINDER, IN FISCAL YEAR $19/20$, we had |
| 19 | BEGUN WINDING DOWN OUR OPERATIONS AND WE WERE NOT |
| 20 | BACK-FILLING VACANT POSITIONS. THUS, YOU SEE A |
| 21 | SAVINGS BETWEEN THE ACTUALS IN THAT YEAR. |
| 22 | THIS PROPOSED REVISED BUDGET FOR THE |
| 23 | 2020/2021 YEAR IS 15.3 MILLION, WHICH IS \$300,000 |
| 24 | LESS THAN THE $19/20$ APPROVED BUDGET. AND I WANTED |
| 25 | TO HIGHLIGHT THIS TO NOTE THAT AS WE BEGIN TO WIND |
| | 12 |

| 1 | UP THE AGENCY, OUR APPROACH IS TO BE THOUGHTFUL |
|----|--|
| 2 | WHILE MAINTAINING COSTS THAT WERE SLIGHTLY BELOW THE |
| 3 | INITIAL WIND-DOWN THAT WE STARTED IN 19/20. |
| 4 | SO, LASTLY, HERE'S A RECAP. THIS SLIDE |
| 5 | COMPARES THE CURRENTLY APPROVED 2020/2021 BUDGET TO |
| 6 | THE PROPOSED 2020/2021 BUDGET. WE ARE CURRENTLY |
| 7 | AUTHORIZED WE HAVE A CURRENT AUTHORIZED BUDGET OF |
| 8 | 12.3 MILLION FOR THE FISCAL YEAR, WHICH INCLUDES A |
| 9 | 1.2 MILLION ONE-TIME LEAVE BUYOUT FOR THOSE |
| 10 | WIND-DOWN ACTIVITIES I DESCRIBED. THIS PROPOSED |
| 11 | REVISED BUDGET IS JUST OVER 15 MILLION, AND IT |
| 12 | ANTICIPATES AN INCREASE OF THE EMPLOYEE COSTS DUE TO |
| 13 | THE REESTABLISHMENT OF THE POSITIONS OF ABOUT 2.5 |
| 14 | MILLION. |
| 15 | AGAIN, THESE EMPLOYEE EXPENSES CAN BE SEEN |
| 16 | IN TWO CATEGORIES. ONE IS THE POSITIONS THAT ARE |
| 17 | NOT GOING TO BE ELIMINATED DUE TO NOT ENACTING OUR |
| 18 | WIND-DOWN PLAN OF ABOUT 1.5 MILLION AND THE SECOND |
| 19 | IS FOR NEW HIRES TO FILL VACANT POSITIONS, WHICH IS |
| 20 | JUST UNDER \$1 MILLION. |
| 21 | ADDITIONALLY, THERE IS A \$1 MILLION |
| 22 | INCREASE IN EXTERNAL SERVICES DUE TO THE AGENCY'S |
| 23 | REQUIREMENT TO CONDUCT THE 2021 PERFORMANCE AUDIT AS |
| 24 | WELL AS FOR LEGAL COUNSEL SERVICES THAT WE |
| 25 | ANTICIPATE OVER THE NEXT SIX MONTHS. |
| | 12 |

13

| 1 | AND, LASTLY, THIS REVISED BUDGET REQUEST |
|----|--|
| 2 | IS JUST OVERALL 2 PERCENT LOWER THAN THE 19/20 |
| 3 | AUTHORIZED BUDGET. AS AN AGENCY, AS MENTIONED, IN |
| 4 | 19/20 WE WERE ALREADY OPERATING IN A WIND-DOWN MODE. |
| 5 | AS WE WIND UP, WE ARE BEING THOUGHTFUL IN |
| 6 | MAINTAINING OUR COSTS. SO OVER THE NEXT FEW YEARS, |
| 7 | WE WILL CONTINUE TO WIND UP THE AGENCY. AND THIS |
| 8 | COMMITTEE WILL BE SEEING THOSE BUDGETS IN THE FUTURE |
| 9 | STARTING NEXT YEAR IN 2021/2022. |
| 10 | AT THIS TIME WE'D LIKE TO REQUEST THAT THE |
| 11 | FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE APPROVE THE RECOMMENDED REVISED |
| 12 | 2020/2021 BUDGET TO THE ICOC. AND AT THIS TIME I'M |
| 13 | HAPPY TO TAKE ANY QUESTIONS RELATED TO THIS REVISED |
| 14 | BUDGET. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN JUELSGAARD: THANK YOU, |
| 16 | JENNIFER. SO DO ANY MEMBERS HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR |
| 17 | COMMENTS? |
| 18 | DR. VUORI: I HAVE JUST SORT OF A |
| 19 | PRACTICAL QUESTION AS TO WHERE ARE THE MONIES FOR |
| 20 | THIS BUDGET GOING TO COME FROM? ARE WE STILL UNDER |
| 21 | THE PROP 71, OR IS THIS ALREADY PROP 14, AND ARE |
| 22 | THERE ANY COMPLICATIONS IF THE ANSWER IS THE LATTER? |
| 23 | MS. LEWIS: THESE FUNDS ARE UNDER THE |
| 24 | REMAINING ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET FOR PROP 71. AS YOU |
| 25 | MAY RECALL, WE HAD FUNDS BUDGETED THROUGH 2023/2024 |
| | 14 |

14

| 1 | TO MAINTAIN STAFF AT A PART-TIME BASIS. SO WE ARE |
|----|--|
| 2 | USING THOSE FUNDS FOR THE SIX MONTHS. |
| 3 | DR. VUORI: THANK YOU. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN THOMAS: KRISTINA, I MIGHT ADD ON |
| 5 | TOP OF THAT JUST FOR EVERYBODY'S BENEFIT AT SUCH |
| 6 | TIME AS WE NEED NEW FUNDING, WHICH WE WILL IF THE |
| 7 | SCIENCE SUBCOMMITTEE AND THE BOARD APPROVES |
| 8 | REOPENING CERTAIN OF OUR PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENTS FOR |
| 9 | CORE PROGRAMS, WE'LL NEED NEW FUNDING IN THE SPRING. |
| 10 | AND JENN AND I SPOKE TO THE STATE TREASURER'S OFFICE |
| 11 | COUPLE WEEKS AGO TO MAKE SURE THAT WE WERE BACK ON |
| 12 | TRACK WITH THE PROCESS OF HOW WE GET THOSE FUNDS |
| 13 | RELEASED, WHICH IS WE GO TWICE A YEAR TO THE |
| 14 | DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE WITH |
| 15 | A LIST OF ANTICIPATED NEEDS FOR THE ENSUING SIX |
| 16 | MONTHS. THEY GO OVER THAT WITH US. AND ONCE THEY |
| 17 | HAVE SIGNED OFF, THEY DIRECT THE STATE TREASURER TO |
| 18 | ISSUE BONDS AS PART OF THEIR SEMIANNUAL BOND |
| 19 | ISSUANCE ON BEHALF OF VARIOUS STATE AGENCIES THAT |
| 20 | TAKE PLACE IN THE SPRING AND THE FALL. |
| 21 | SO AT SUCH TIME AS WE ARE LIKELY TO NEED |
| 22 | FUNDS FOR THE NEWLY RAMPED-UP CLIN, TRAN, AND DISC |
| 23 | PROGRAMS, THAT MONEY WILL COME OUT OF NEW MONEY FROM |
| 24 | THAT SPRING BOND ISSUE THAT THE TREASURER UNDERTAKES |
| 25 | ON OUR BEHALF. SO WE ARE SEAMLESSLY SET UP TO |
| | |

15

| 1 | PROCEED HERE BOTH ON ADMINISTRATIVE AND ON THE |
|----|--|
| 2 | RESEARCH SIDE TO CONTINUE APACE WITH PROGRAMS UNDER |
| 3 | THE NEW PROP 14. |
| 4 | DR. VUORI: THANKS, JENN AND J.T. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN JUELSGAARD: J.T., WHY DON'T YOU |
| 6 | JUST SPEAK A MINUTE TO ACTUALLY KRISTINA'S QUESTION, |
| 7 | BUT A LITTLE EXPANSIVE. SO WE WERE ACTUALLY ABLE TO |
| 8 | INCREASE THE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES WE HAVE. THERE'S A |
| 9 | LIMIT SET IN PROP 14 THAT'S GREATER THAN WE'VE BEEN |
| 10 | WORKING WITH, WHICH IS AROUND 55. AND THEN THERE'S |
| 11 | ALSO AN ADMINISTRATIVE COST CAP OF 3.5 PERCENT, IF I |
| 12 | READ IT CORRECTLY. I'M NOT SURE HOW THAT EQUATES TO |
| 13 | WHAT WE HAD BEFORE, BUT JUST THE ROAD GOING FORWARD |
| 14 | IN TERMS OF MONIES AVAILABLE FOR ADMINISTRATIVE |
| 15 | PURPOSES. IF YOU COULD JUST ADDRESS THAT AND WHAT |
| 16 | EXPECTED HEAD COUNT AND THINGS OF THAT SORT. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WELL, I THINK THE MONEY, |
| 18 | ONCE WE GET THROUGH THAT ADMINISTRATIVE FUND |
| 19 | REMAINING MONEY THAT HAD BEEN SET ASIDE THAT JENN |
| 20 | REFERRED TO, WE'LL THEN BE GETTING PART OF THE |
| 21 | SEMIANNUAL BOND ISSUANCE THAT WILL COME OUT FOR OUR |
| 22 | ADMINISTRATIVE FUNDING THEREAFTER FOR OUR SO-CALLED |
| 23 | SMALL BUCKET. SO THAT WILL BE MUCH AS IT ALWAYS HAS |
| 24 | BEEN UP TO THIS POINT. |
| 25 | IN TERMS OF THE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES THAT |
| | 16 |

| 1 | WE ULTIMATELY LAND ON, THAT'S GOING TO BE A FUNCTION |
|----|--|
| 2 | OF A LOT OF DISCUSSION BY THE TEAM AND |
| 3 | RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD FOR WHICH POSITIONS TO |
| 4 | FILL GOING FORWARD. SO THE BOARD WILL HAVE THE |
| 5 | ULTIMATE SAY IN THAT. I DON'T BELIEVE AT THIS STAGE |
| 6 | WE HAVE UP TO THAT 70-PERSON NUMBER TO HAVE |
| 7 | ASPIRATIONALLY, BUT WE HAVE NOT REMOTELY GOTTEN THAT |
| 8 | FAR YET. MARIA MILLAN, WOULD YOU LIKE TO SPEAK TO |
| 9 | THAT? |
| 10 | MR. TORRES: ALSO ON THAT POINT, THAT |
| 11 | NUMBER, STEVE, WAS INCREASED IN 2010 WITH |
| 12 | LEGISLATION WHICH JAMES AND I WORKED ON WITH SENATOR |
| 13 | ALQUIST AT THE TIME. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN JUELSGAARD: MARIA WELL, I |
| 15 | CAN JUST INTERDICT HERE FOR A MOMENT. I JUST WANTED |
| 16 | THE BOARD TO BE AWARE OF THE FACT THAT THERE ARE A |
| 17 | COUPLE OF THINGS THAT WERE IN PROP 14 THAT AFFECT |
| 18 | PROSPECTIVELY THE BUDGET THAT WE'VE JUST BEEN |
| 19 | TALKING ABOUT BOTH IN TERMS OF DOLLARS AND |
| 20 | EXPECTATION OF THE POTENTIAL EMPLOYEE BASE IN THE |
| 21 | ORGANIZATION. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES. |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN JUELSGAARD: ALSO THE NOTION |
| 24 | THAT WE'LL HAVE UP TO 15 EMPLOYEES IN THE AREA OF |
| 25 | AFFORDABILITY AND ACCESSIBILITY AS A WHOLE NEW AREA |
| | 17 |

| 1 | THAT CIRM IS GOING TO FIND ITSELF INVOLVED WITH, |
|----|--|
| 2 | ALTHOUGH THAT ALL HAS TO BE WORKED OUT. IT WILL BE |
| 3 | A CHANGING ORGANIZATION IN A NUMBER OF WAYS AS WE GO |
| 4 | FORWARD. THIS IS JUST REALLY GETTING STARTED ON |
| 5 | THAT. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES, THAT'S RIGHT. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN JUELSGAARD: ARE THERE ANY OTHER |
| 8 | QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS ON THE BUDGET AS PRESENTED? |
| 9 | DR. MILLAN: STEVE, IF I MAY, JUST TO |
| 10 | ANSWER CHAIRMAN THOMAS' QUESTION. SO WE ARE IN THE |
| 11 | MIDST OF WORKING ON A STRATEGIC PLAN, AND THE IDEA |
| 12 | WOULD BE THAT WHEN WE HAVE A STRATEGIC PLAN AT A |
| 13 | POINT WHERE IT CAN BE BROUGHT TO THE BOARD FOR |
| 14 | DISCUSSION PARTNERED WITH THAT WILL BE A PLAN IN |
| 15 | TERMS OF WHAT THE ORGANIZATIONAL NEEDS WILL BE WITH |
| 16 | RESPECT TO PERSONNEL AND CAPABILITIES, BUT ALSO WHAT |
| 17 | THE RAMP-UP PLAN COULD LOOK LIKE BASED ON THAT |
| 18 | STRATEGIC PLAN. |
| 19 | MR. TORRES: WHAT STEVE IS REFERENCING IS |
| 20 | PROP 14 STATUTE WHICH WE HAVE TO BE VERY CLEAR |
| 21 | INCLUDES AN ADDITIONAL 15 EMPLOYEES FOR A TOTAL OF |
| 22 | 85 UNDER THE STATUTE. THAT 15, OF COURSE, WILL COME |
| 23 | FROM THE WORKING GROUP WORK. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN JUELSGAARD: MY POINT WAS SIMPLY |
| 25 | THAT THIS WILL BE AN EVOLVING ORGANIZATION. |
| | 18 |

| 1 | MR. TORRES: RIGHT. |
|----|---|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN JUELSGAARD: WE'LL PROBABLY BE |
| 3 | SIGNIFICANTLY LARGER THAN IT IS NOW WITH A BROADER |
| 4 | RANGE OF RESPONSIBILITIES. SO THAT'S WHAT WE'RE |
| 5 | GOING TO WIND UP SEEING, NOT IMMEDIATELY, BUT OVER |
| 6 | TIME. |
| 7 | MR. TORRES: YES. YES. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN JUELSGAARD: ALL RIGHT. ANY |
| 9 | OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS ABOUT WHAT'S BEEN |
| 10 | PRESENTED? IF NOT, IS THERE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE |
| 11 | RECOMMENDATION OF THIS BUDGET TO THE ICOC AT THE |
| 12 | UPCOMING ICOC MEETING? |
| 13 | MR. TORRES: SO MOVED. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN JUELSGAARD: IS THERE A SECOND? |
| 15 | DR. GASSON: SECOND. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN JUELSGAARD: ALL RIGHT. IT'S |
| 17 | BEEN MOVED AND SECOND THAT WE RECOMMEND THIS BUDGET |
| 18 | TO THE ICOC. ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS, COMMENTS? |
| 19 | DR. GASSON: I WOULD JUST THANK JENNIFER. |
| 20 | A VERY CLEAR PRESENTATION. THANK YOU. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN JUELSGAARD: HERE. HERE. YES. |
| 22 | VERY GOOD. ABSOLUTELY. |
| 23 | WELL, IF THERE ARE NO OTHER COMMENTS OR |
| 24 | QUESTIONS, THEN, MARIA, WILL YOU CALL THE ROLL. |
| 25 | MS. BONNEVILLE: SURE. |
| | 19 |
| | ±-7 |

| 1 | MR. HARRISON: JUST TO INTERJECT, I ASSUME |
|----|--|
| 2 | WE HAVE NO PUBLIC COMMENT. |
| 3 | MS. BONNEVILLE: THERE ARE NO HANDS |
| 4 | RAISED. |
| 5 | STEVE JUELSGAARD. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN JUELSGAARD: YOU WERE THE ONE |
| 7 | RESPONSIBLE FOR BRINGING THE PUBLIC COMMENTS IN. |
| 8 | SO, ANYWAY. |
| 9 | MR. TORRES: I FAILED MY DUTY. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN JUELSGAARD: YOU DID. |
| 11 | YES. |
| 12 | MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON. |
| 13 | DR. GASSON: YES. |
| 14 | MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT. |
| 15 | MR. ROWLETT: YES. |
| 16 | MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD. |
| 17 | DR. STEWARD: YES. |
| 18 | MS. BONNEVILLE: SUZANNE SANDMEYER. |
| 19 | DR. SANDMEYER: YES. |
| 20 | MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES. |
| 22 | MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES. |
| 23 | MR. TORRES: AYE. |
| 24 | MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI. |
| 25 | DR. VUORI: YES. |
| | 20 |
| | 20 |

| 1 | MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES. |
|----|---|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN JUELSGAARD: GREAT. WONDERFUL. |
| 3 | JENNIFER, THANK YOU. THAT WAS A GREAT PRESENTATION. |
| 4 | ARE THERE ANY OTHER TOPICS BEFORE THE |
| 5 | FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE BEFORE WE END THIS SESSION? |
| 6 | HEARING NONE, THEN THE MEETING IS ADJOURNED. |
| 7 | MR. TORRES: GREAT WORK. THANKS. |
| 8 | (THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 11:26 A.M.) |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | 21 |
| | 133 HENNA COURT, SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864 |
| | |

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE ZOOM PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON DECEMBER 8, 2020, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152 133 HENNA COURT SANDPOINT, IDAHO (208) 290-3543